

- In re B.G., 2016 VT 107, __ Vt. __, __ A.3d __ (Dooley, J., concurring) (repeating that, as written in prior case, “DCF and the Legislature need to take a hard look at cases like this one to determine whether there is a way to protect a child in circumstances like this that involve an alternative to DCF custody”)
- State v. Richard, 2016 VT 75, __ Vt. __, __ A.3d __ (Skoglund, J., concurring) (noting that majority decision “misuse[s] . . . the incapacitated person statutes” and differing with majority regarding definition of incapacitation)
- In re A.S. & K.S., 2016 VT 76, __ Vt. __, __ A.3d __ (per curiam) (expressly and emphatically asking Legislature to alleviate pressure on burdened juvenile and family docket)
- Conant v. Entergy Corp., 2016 VT 74, __ Vt. __, __ A.3d __ (dispute between majority and dissent about the scope of authority Legislature has delegated the Commissioner of the Department of Labor)
- David Demarest, et al. v. Town of Underhill, 2016 VT 10, ¶ 15, __ Vt. __, 138 A.3d 206 (noting that, in fifteen years, “Legislature has yet to amend either § 971 et seq. or § 310(b) to clarify the Commissioners’ role, or lack thereof, as it relates to repairs and maintenance of Class 4 highways”).
- McGee v. Gonyo, 2016 VT 8, ¶ 22, , __ Vt. __, 140 A.3d 162 (Dooley, J., concurring) (urging Legislature “to enact a real parentage act . . . regulating the rights and responsibilities where the interests of children are involved”).
- State v. Rosenfield, 2016 VT 27, ¶ 17, __ Vt. __, 142 A.3d 1069 (dispute between majority and concurrence about intent of 33 V.S.A. § 5119(g)).
- Deveneau v. Weilt, 2016 VT 21, ¶ 51, __ Vt. __, 144 A.3d 324 (dispute about whether Legislature limited tort liability for roaming horses to horses’ owners).
- TLOC Senior Living, LLC v. Albert R. (Alpine) Bingham III, 2016 VT 44, ¶ 16, __ Vt. __, 145 A.3d 1266 (Dooley, J., dissenting) (Legislature could not have intended “gridlock” when enacting 11 V.S.A. § 1623).
- Concord General Mut. Ins. Co. v. Gritman, 2016 VT 45, ¶¶ 34-35, __ Vt. __, 146 A.3d 882 (noting that argument that fixed 12% postjudgment interest rate “creates a windfall to plaintiffs and is punitive to defendants . . . is more appropriately presented to the Legislature”).
- In re Petition of Rutland Renewable Energy, LLC, 2016 VT 50, ¶¶ 31-32, __ Vt. __, 147 A.3d 621 (Robinson, J., concurring) (noting Court cannot rewrite 30 V.S.A. § 248(b)(1) to respond to Town’s critique and resolution falls on Legislature).
- In re K.A., 2016 VT 52, ¶ 25, __ Vt. __, 147 A.3d 81 (limiting potentially broad definition of prohibited acts under 13 V.S.A. § 2632).
- Town of Milton Board of Health v. Brisson, 2016 VT 56, ¶ 34, __ Vt. __, 147 A.3d 990 (Dooley, J., dissenting) (dispute between majority and dissent about whether “attorney’s fees” are included in 18 V.S.A. § 130(b)).